

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). I	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,787		08/26/2003	Pierre Rondeau	RP-00128-US55	RP-00128-US55 2014	
909	7590	07/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PILLSBU	RY WIN	ΓHROP, LLP	FLEMING	FLEMING, FAYE M		
P.O. BOX MCLEAN		02		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,			3616		
				DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/647,787	RONDEAU, ET AL	۸. ۸				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	₩ <u></u>				
	Faye Fleming	3616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a RANDON.	imely filed sys will be considered timely. The mailing date of this com	nmunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
t e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	<u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-10,15-18 and 24-26</u> is/are reject 7) Claim(s) <u>3,11-14 and 19-23</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/26/03.	6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-19					
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Act	ion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date	20040627				

Application/Control Number: 10/647,787 Page 2

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the term "ATV-type tire" should be described in the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The terms "ATV-type tire" is unclear; the examiner notes the applicant fails to provide a description in the specification of the terms.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 15-18, 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuura (6,622,806).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,787

Art Unit: 3616

Matsuura teaches an ATV comprising a frame 12; at least three wheels suspended from the frame, two of which are front wheels and two which are rear wheels, the front wheels defining a front axis and the rear wheels defining a rear axis; a power unit for driving at least one of the wheels disposed on the frame; a straddle-type seat supported including a main seat portion and a secondary seat portion, rearward of the main portion; right and left footrests including a front footrest 50, 54 and a rear footrest 50; and a steering member comprising handlebars. With respect to the wheelbase, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the wheelbase a specific size and/or a size within a specific range, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, as well as, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. The front footrest 54 and the rear footrest 50 of each of the right and left footrests are separate. The front footrest 50 and the rear footrest 50 of each of the right and left footrests are integral. Regarding claims 6-9, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a longitudinal length of each of the right and of the left footrests be within a specific distance, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. As shown in figure 2, Matsuura teaches a heel stop. The front and rear footrests each include upper surfaces that are substantially horizontal. One of the front and rear

Application/Control Number: 10/647,787

Art Unit: 3616

footrests is angled with respect to horizontal, for each of the right and left footrests, respectively. Regarding claims 24, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a specific ratio of the wheelbase to a longitudinal length of the footrest, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3, 11-14, 19-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Fleming whose telephone number is (703) 305-0209. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (703) 308-2089. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,787 Page 5

Art Unit: 3616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Faye Fleming Examiner Art Unit 3616

fmf